EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0206-AIR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100215334 **CASE NO.:** 32601

RESPONDENT NAME: Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP

ORDER TYPE:			
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING	
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER	
AMENDED ORDER			
CASE TYPE:			
<u>X</u> AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION	
TYPE OF OPERATION: Industrial gas mass small small susiness: YesX_ OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Their facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other that comments received: The Texas Reg contacts and mailing List: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator David Van Soest, Enforcement Div Respondent: Mr. William Peebles, Texas 77541 Mr. Scott Swafford, Zone Producti	No re are no complaints. There is no record of additional and the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interister comment period expired on July 16, 2007. No or: None r: Ms. Lindsey Jones, Enforcement Division, Enforce	al pending enforcement actions regarding this rest in this matter. comments were received. ement Team 4, MC 149, (512) 239-4930; Mr. U.S. LP, 2398 Victoria Street, Freeport,	

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS **VIOLATION INFORMATION** PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED . Orbest SPACES CONTRACTOR SPECIAL SPACES Type of Investigation: **Corrective Actions Taken:** Total Assessed: \$6,681 Complaint X Routine The Executive Director recognizes that Air Total Deferred: \$1,336 ___ Enforcement Follow-up Liquide has implemented the following X Expedited Settlement corrective measures at the Plant: Records Review Financial Inability to Pay a. Conducted both an informal review on Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this the incident and its proper reporting, as Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 well as refresher training to all relevant personnel on site by February 12, 2007 in Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid to General Revenue: \$5,345 order to prevent the reoccurrence of same Case: December 18, 2006 or similar events; Site Compliance History Classification Date of NOE Relating to this Case: High X Average Poor b. Installed a second infrared flare monitor January 29, 2007 (NOE) on October 20, 2006 and had both flare Person Compliance History Classification monitors adjusted and aligned by an OEM Background Facts: This was a routine High X Average Poor technician on November 3, 2006; and investigation. Three violations were documented. Major Source: X Yes No c. Provided refresher training to Process Technicians on visual flare monitoring and AIR Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 documenting observations on or by February 12, 2007. 1) Failed to notify the Commission of a reportable emission event no later than 24 hours after the discovery of the event. Specifically, the emission event occurred on October 15, 2006 and was not reported until October 20, 2006 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 101.201(a)(1)(B) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)]. 2) Failed to properly operate emission control equipment during normal operations. Specifically, Air Liquide failed to maintain a flame at the Flare Stack on October 15, 2006 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and (3), 101.221(a); 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS § 60.18(c)(2); Permit No. 32274/PSD-TX-955M1/N-042, Special Condition Nos. 9(A), and 9(B); and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)]. 3) Failed to maintain carbon monoxide ("CO") emissions from the Flare Stack at or below the maximum allowable emission rate. Specifically, Air Liquide failed to maintain a flame at the Flare Stack, resulting in a total emissions release of 12,142 pounds of CO over a period of 11 hours and 17 minutes, or approximately 1,076.4 pounds per hour. The permitted hourly limit for CO is 37.80 pounds per hour. Since these emissions could have been foreseen and avoided by good design,

RESPONDENT NAME: Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0206-AIR-E

		The state of the s
operation and maintenance practices, Air		
Liquide failed to meet the demonstrations		
for an affirmative defense in 30 Tex.		
ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 [30 Tex. ADMIN.		
CODE §§ 101.20(3) and 116.115(c); Permit	·	
No. 32274/PSD-TX-955M1/N-042,		
Special Condition No. 1; and Tex. HEALTH		
& SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].		
·		

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007 5-Feb-2007 Assigned Screening 6-Feb-2007 PCW 8-Feb-2007 **EPA Due** 22-Oct-2007 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100215334 Major/Minor Source Major Facility/Site Region 12-Houston CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 2 Enf./Case ID No. 32601 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2007-0206-AIR-E Media Program(s) Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Lindsey Jones EC's Team EnforcementTeam 5 Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section \$5,100 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$2,091 Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Compliance History 41% Enhancement The penalty was enhanced due to two previous 1660-style orders and one previous similar notice of violation. The penalty was reduced due Notes to two NOAs and one DOV. \$0 Subtotal 4 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$510 Subtotal 5 **Good Faith Effort to Comply** 10% Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Before NOV Extraordinar Ordinar (mark with x) N/A The Respondent re-lit the flame immediately upon discovery (October 15, 2006); installed a second infrared flare monitor on October 20, 2006; had both flare monitors adjusted and aligned by an OEM Notes technician on November 3, 2006; and has implemented internal programs and refresher training to ensure proper reporting and visual flare monitoring in the future by February 12, 2007. \$0 0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts Approx. Cost of Compliance \$6,681 Final Subtotal **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** \$0 Adjustment OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only Notes Final Penalty Amount \$6,681 \$6,681 Final Assessed Penalty STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT -\$1,336 20% Adjustment Reduction DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$5,345

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 6-Feb-2007

Docket No. 2007-0206-AIR-E

Respondent Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP

Case ID No. 32601

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100215334 Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Lindsey Jones Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Compliance History Worksheet

r	Component	The state of the s	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%	
		Other written NOVs	0	0%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	2	40%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitor emergency orders issued by the commission	The state of the s	282° 2 - 0% ∧	
11	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	it)
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final cour judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government		0%	in it is
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%′	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which notices were submitted)</i>		-2%	
	Additional and the second	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	1	-2%	
		Plea	ise Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	0.1101	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	t No	0%	
		Adjustment i	Percentage (S	ubtotal 2) [41
Repea	t Violator (Su	btotal 3)			
[No	Adjustment I	Percentage (S	ubtotal 3) [0%
Compl	liance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		Missis Colabora	. A series
	Average P	erformer Adjustment I	Percentage (S	ubtotal 7) [0%
Compl	liance History	Summary	Joseph Spel		ăvi, i
	Compliance History Notes	. The penalty was enhanced due to two previous 1660-style orders and one previous sim violation. The penalty was reduced due to two NOAs and one DOV.	lar notice of		

Screening Date	6-Feb-2007	Docket No. 2007-0206-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent	Air Liquide Large Industries	S U.S. LP Policy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		P	CW Revision January 9, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			MALE VALUE OF THE STATE OF THE
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			Constant
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 10	1.201(a)(1)(B) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b	
Violation Description	after the discovery of the	ission of a reportable emission event no later than 24 hours event, as documented during an investigation on Decembe emission event occurred on October 15, 2006 and was no reported until October 20, 2006.	ŗ.
		Base Penal	y \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Mat	rix	
	Harm	Maria	***************************************
OR Release	r	Minor	
Potentia		Percent 0%	
	1		
>>Programmatic Matrix			-
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		x Percent 1%	
			7
Matrix Notes	Less than 30% o	of the rule requirement was not met.	
		Adjustment \$9,90	707
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
	,		\$100
Violation Events			**************************************
Assertant and a control of the second of the	Marine 283 (A) (248 - 258 - 251 258)	<u>Markara la la la proposión de la </u>	NACO .
Number of V	iolation Events 1	4 Number of violation days	
National Association (Association of Association of	■90.7 . 200.0 vic 2.25.20	TI	TO OCCUPANT OF THE PROPERTY OF
**Additional of the state of th	daily		WWW.
mark only one	monthly quarterly	Violation Base Pena	ty \$100
with an x	semiannual	,	
politica	annual		a-commonwea
	single event x		WWW ACCUMENTS
			-
	One sir	ngle event is recommended.	·
·	0110 011	igio ovotiti ib rodominionada.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$4 Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$131
	-	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$131

Case ID No.	32601	ge Industries U.S. L	P talla".	#11,500	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and the little of a and the little of a	i ya - 1. jiga
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Air Quality				en . Joznafa	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	Automorphic system				+ Faulton /	5.0	18
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Intérest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description Delayed Costs	over 1 South					,	
Equipment				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
. Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	describing the	da de como de		0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	16-Oct-2006	12-Feb-2007	0.3	\$4	a ana n∕a	\$4
Training/Sampling			<u> </u>	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			أحضرت أنساني أنسان أنسان	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	L		and the state of	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	and the second second				\$0	n/a	
4 45x 150 55] 0.0			\$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Required is t	he due date for the	report. Final Date	proced	lures designed to s date that training o	submit final reports on proper reporting wone-time avoided so	on time. Date as completed.
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Required is t	he due date for the	report. Final Date	ntering 0.0 0.	lures designed to state that training of them (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

the first of water and the contract of the

Screening I	Date 6-Feb-2007		Docket No. 2007-0206	-AIR-E	PCW
Respond	dent Air Liquide Large	Industries U.S. LP		Policy Rev	rision 2 (September 2002)
	No. 32601			PCW	Revision January 9, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference					Органичного
	ute] Air Quality				REPARAMETERS OF
Enf. Coordin Violation Nu	ator Lindsey Jones		•		
Rule C	<u> </u>	Code §§ 101.20(1) a	and (3), 101.221(a), and 11	6.115(c): 40 CFR §	LAMPRES DAM
Violation Descri	Failed to properl resulted in an emission rate. Son October 15, 20	mit No. 32274/PSD-T. and 9(B); and Tex. He y operate emission co emission of carbon m pecifically, the Respor 006, resulting in a tota	X-995M1/N-042, Special Coalth & Safety Code § 382.0 Introl equipment during nor onoxide ("CO") above the indent failed to maintain a fluid emissions release of 12,1 or approximately 1,076.4 p	ondition Nos. 1, 9(A), 185(b) mal operations, which maximum allowable ame at the Flare Stack 42 pounds of CO over	
Violation Bessin	permitted hourl	y limit for CO is 37.80 en and avoided by go failed to meet the den	pounds per hour. Since the pound design, operation and reponstrations for an affirmation Code § 101.222.	ese emissions could naintenance practices,	Communication of the state of t
nnessinoma na prosessissian operat, 1905 ta 1810 1919 (Septembre Schedelle)	88 - 487 - 15 S 4888 Sept. 1848 ST 185 S 185	980 - 1882 (N. 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111		Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Prope	and with the control of the first of the first profit (New Autobook) and the	Strategic Strategic and an interest and restriction of the second	u Maria da Para da Salaba	1512000000	***************************************
Re		Harm oderate Minor			
OR A	Actual	x l		p	***************************************
Pot	ential		Percent	50%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	gr 200000 (SC22211)				AAAAAAA
Falsific	ation Major M	oderate Minor			
			Percent	0%	acceptance acceptance and acceptance accepta
					THEOCOCCUM RADIO
Matrix Human	health or the environment	ent has been exposed	I to significant amounts of p n or environmental receptor	oollutants which do not	AAAA WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
Notes exc	eed levels that are prote	violati		s as a result of the	
Microsoft Search					V.IIIXXXXXXXXXX
The second page of the second			Adjustment	\$5,000	
ACCEPTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF				Г	\$5,000
THE COLOR OF THE C			CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		
Violation Events		J. A. SANDENION	COP Company of the Control of the Co		annawww.
Numbor	of Violation Events	1	Number o	f violation days	
Mumber	of violation Events		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	i violation days	MARKOW Propriet
0000000	daily				
4+	monthly				¢5 000
mark on with a			`	iolation Base Penalty	\$5,000
	annual				
Anne della ERIONA	single event	X			
, piiii	The second secon				
		One single event is	s recommended.		
Economic Benefit (EB) f	or this violation		Statuto	ry Limit Test	
Fef	imated EB Amount	\$	36 Violati	on Final Penalty Total	\$6,550
					#0. F.50
		This violat	ion Final Assessed Penal	ty (adjusted for limits)	\$6,550

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP Case ID No. 32601 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100215334 Years of Media Air Quality Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 2 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** \$3.000 Equipment \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0,0 0.0 \$0 n/a Land **Record Keeping System** 0.0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.0 \$0 n/a 0.3 Other (as needed) Equipment cost is the approximate cost of the second infrared monitor and Other costs are the estimated costs of additional oversight and proper management practices designed to ensure maintenance of flame at Flare Notes for DELAYED costs Stack, Date required is the date of the emission event. Final date under Equipment is the date the second monitor was installed and Final date under Other is the date flame monitoring training was completed. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs \$5,000 \$36 Approx. Cost of Compliance TOTAL

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN600300693

Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP

Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.33

Regulated Entity:

RN100215334

FREEPORT HYCO PLANT

Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 35.91

AIR OPERATING PERMITS AIR OPERATING PERMITS

PERMIT

BL0626U

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE

EPAID

ACCOUNT NUMBER

2391 TXR000031138

GENERATION

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE

SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION #

86069

N042

GENERATION AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS

(SWR) **PERMIT**

PERMIT

AFS NUM

PSDTX995M1 **PERMIT** 32274 PSDTX995 **PERMIT**

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS

PERMIT EPA ID EPA ID ACCOUNT NUMBER

PSDTX995 PSDTX995M1 BL0626U 32274

4803900146

ID Number(s):

Location:

2398 VICTORIA ST, FREEPORT, TX, 77541

Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

Date Compliance History Prepared

March 08, 2007

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

February 06, 2002 to February 06, 2007

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Lindsey Jones

Phone:

512-239-4930

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

N/A

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. Α.

Effective Date: 11/02/2003

ADMINORDER 2000-0565-AIR-E

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rgmt Pro GC 8 PERMIT

Description: Exceeded permitted limits for CO from the flare.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.121

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.130(b)(1)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.054 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit a timely FOP application and operation of a major source w/out a FOP.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.160(a)

40 CFR Chapter 52, SubChapter C, PT 52, SubPT A 52.21

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to obtain a Federal PSD permit prior to the construction and operation of a major source.

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.10[G]

·自由、运动机构的研究规划分

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382,085(b)

Description: Failure to submit emissions inventory for 1998 and 1999.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6[G]

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.7[G]

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit notification of startup or maintenance activities on 23 occasions during 1997-1999.

HOME BELEVIEWS WIT

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.116(b)(1)[G]

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to represent volatile organic compound emissions related to the impurities in the raw hydrogen

intake stream.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116,115(c)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A 60.18

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382,085(b)

Description: Failure to conduct initial compliance testing on a flare.

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)[G]

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Ramt Prov: GC 8 & SC 2 PERMIT

Description: Exceeded nitrogen oxide and ammonia hrly emission rates on the SMR and ammonia hrly emission

rates for the Auxiliary Boiler during testing conducted July 10 and 14.

Effective Date: 08/13/2004

ADMINORDER 2003-0040-AIR-E Here the first resultance of the editions and the edition of the contract of the edition of the

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 111, SubChapter A 111.111(a)(4)(A)(ii)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to log a daily observation as to whether or not the flare was smoking.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101,20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: SC1 PERMIT

SC12A PERMIT

Description: Failure to maintain and properly calibrate the continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for the SMR and the auxiliary boiler which resulted in exceeding the firing rate and hourly emission rate for ammonia on 在新术的统体Aligner sections apply that is

30 Say 200 David Constitution (see

both units.

Classification(): Moderate stones against fairle things when a site of one (90) by a model of each of each or expression of

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Ramt Prov: SC7D PERMIT

Description: Failure to install a continuous flow monitor and an analyzer that provides a record of the vent stream

flow and heating value to the flare.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db 60.49b(i)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Ramt Prov: SC10 PERMIT

Description: Failure to submit the semi-annual reports for the auxiliary boiler from May 1, 1998 to May 1, 2002.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: 12B PERMIT

Description: Failure to conduct quality assurance tests for the continuous emissions monitors for SMR and

auxiliary boiler.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A 60.18

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: 2f ORDER

SC7A PERMIT

Description: Failure to demonstrate that the flare meets the specification of minimum heating value and maximum tip velocity.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
 - 1 07/21/2003 (126132)
 - 2 07/21/2003 (126106)
 - 3 02/26/2003 (21229)
 - 4 09/22/2005 (432670)
 - 5 01/29/2007 (534523)
 - 6 03/03/2003 (20718)
 - 7 09/30/2003 (247954)
 - 8 11/18/2003 (248670)
 - 9 08/22/2002 (1535)
 - 10 01/23/2006 (450538)
 - 11 05/26/2006 (467501)
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date 01/23/2006 (450538)

Self Report? NC

0

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(C)

Rqmt Prov: Description:

OP FOD 0-02391 General Terms and Conditions
Failed to submit their deviation report within the 30 days of the reporting period.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(b) Citation: Failed to record their emissions events. Description: Moderate Self Report? NO Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122,143(4) Citation: Ramt Prov: OP FOP O-02391, Special Condition 3A (iii) Failed to perform visible emissions observation. Description: Classification: Moderate Self Report? 30 TAC Chapter 116. SubChapter B 116.115(c) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) Ramt Prov: OP FOP O-02391 Special Condition 7 PERMIT NSR Permit N042 Special Condition 5B Exceeded their CO emissions limit from the auxiliary boiler exhaust. Description: Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) Rqmt Prov: OP FOP O-02391 Special Condition 7 PERMIT NSR Permit N042, Special Condition 5A Description: Exceeded their NOx emissions limit from the auxiliary boiler exhaust. Classification: Self Report? Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) OP FOP O-02391, Special Condition 7 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT NSR Permit N042, Special Condition 4A Exceeded their NOx emissions limit from the steam methane reformer exhaust. Description: Environmental audits. 10/22/2003 Notice of Intent (263001) Disclosure Date: 4/27/2004 12:00:00 AM Viol. Classification: Major Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B INCOMPLETE AUTHORIZATION OF SULFUR COMPOUND EMISSION Description: Viol. Classification: Moderate Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 270, SubChapter I, PT 270, SubPT C PERMIT SC 21 Ramt CONFORMANCE WITH LDAR REQUIREMENTS Description: Viol. Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B Citation: Description: CO2 VENTS NOT REPRESENTED IN PERMIT Viol. Classification: 40 CFR Chapter 270, SubChapter I, PT 270, SubPT C Citation:

PERMIT COND 5 AND 6

05/02/2006

FLOW MEASUREMENTS INADEQUATE

(465946)

Rqmt

N/A

N/A
J. Early compliance.
N/A
Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

Description: Notice of Intent

No DOV Associated

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

F.

G.

H.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
AIR LIQUIDE LARGE INDUSTRIES	§	
U.S. LP	§	
RN100215334	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0206-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP ("Air Liquide") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Air Liquide appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Air Liquide owns and operates an industrial gas manufacturing plant at 2398 Victoria Street in Freeport, Brazoria County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Commission and Air Liquide agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Air Liquide is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Air Liquide received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 3, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Air Liquide of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-One Dollars (\$6,681) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). Air Liquide has paid Five Thousand Three Hundred Forty-Five Dollars (\$5,345) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Three Hundred Thirty-Six Dollars (\$1,336) is deferred contingent upon Air Liquide's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Air Liquide fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Air Liquide to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Air Liquide have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that Air Liquide has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
 - a. Conducted both an informal review on the incident and its proper reporting, as well as refresher training to all relevant personnel on site by February 12, 2007 in order to prevent the reoccurrence of same or similar events;
 - b. Installed a second infrared flare monitor on October 20, 2006 and had both flare monitors adjusted and aligned by an OEM technician on November 3, 2006; and
 - c. Provided refresher training to Process Technicians on visual flare monitoring and documenting observations on or by February 12, 2007.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Air Liquide has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, Air Liquide is alleged to have:

1. Failed to notify the Commission of a reportable emission event no later than 24 hours after the discovery of the event, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 101.201(a)(1)(B) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation on December 18, 2006. Specifically, the emission event occurred on October 15, 2006 and was not reported until October 20, 2006.

The transfer of the state of th

The second second fields to the first of the second second

and the second of the second o

and the second of the second o

and the control of the first of the control of the The control of the control of

en en en english kanga filosofi en talah barangan baran di energi beragai dan baran en engan baran di engan ba La sengan baran di engan baran di e La sengan baran di engan baran di e

and the state of t

and the second of the second o

Some of the state of the state of the contract of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state

- The state of the sta

tan kenanggan penggan pengga Penggan pengga

en ja valitati kan termina para salah kan dia kanggaran di persimbili yang baharan dia kanggaran berakan di se Baharan berakan di persimbili kan di sebagai kan di sebagai kan di sebagai berakan berakan berakan berakan ber Baharan berakan di sebagai kan di sebagai berakan

 $(A_{ij}A_{ij}) = \{(A_{ij}A_{ij}A_{ij}), (A_{ij}A_{ij})\} = \{(A_{ij}A_{ij}), (A_{ij}A_{ij}), (A_{ij}A_{ij})\}$

The first of the second of the

- 2. Failed to properly operate emission control equipment during normal operations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and (3), 101.221(a); 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS § 60.18(c)(2); Permit No. 32274/PSD-TX-955M1/N-042, Special Condition Nos. 9(A), and 9(B); and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 18, 2006. Specifically, Air Liquide failed to maintain a flame at the Flare Stack on October 15, 2006.
- 3. Failed to maintain carbon monoxide ("CO") emissions from the Flare Stack at or below the maximum allowable emission rate, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code §§ 101.20(3) and 116.115(c); Permit No. 32274/PSD-TX-955M1/N-042, Special Condition No. 1; and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 18, 2006. Specifically, Air Liquide failed to maintain a flame at the Flare Stack, resulting in a total emissions release of 12,142 pounds of CO over a period of 11 hours and 17 minutes, or approximately 1,076.4 pounds per hour. The permitted hourly limit for CO is 37.80 pounds per hour. Since these emissions could have been foreseen and avoided by good design, operation and maintenance practices, Air Liquide failed to meet the demonstrations for an affirmative defense in 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 101.222.

III. DENIALS

Air Liquide generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Air Liquide pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Air Liquide's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP, Docket No. 2007-0206-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Air Liquide. Air Liquide is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Air Liquide in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

and the Maryland Art of the State of the Sta

The state of the second of the

And the second of the contract of the second of the second

travitority to a laboration of the superior will be greater of against a

March 17 - British Mark Ball Ball 18 1

And the first of the end of the e

Therefore the second of the se

and the second of the first of the major of the first property of the second of the second of the second of the The second of th

The second of the second that the second of the second of

Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP DOCKET NO. 2007-0206-AIR-E Page 4

- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Air Liquide, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Air Liquide, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	8/16/2007 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agree attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.	below my signature, and I do agree to the
 I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on my compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office of penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions age Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of an and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may to 	me; for contempt, injunctive relief, additional ainst me; ny future enforcement actions against me;
Signature Signature	4-5-07 Date
Scott SwaffforD Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

and the second of the second o

The second of the second of the second

and the second of the second o

Sangara and Sankara graduction

And the second of the second o

randiga di manganan di kacamatan kanan kanan di mangan kanan di kacamatan kanan di kacamatan kanan di kababan Banan di mangan di mangan kanan di kan

- and the control of th
- the second of th
- and the control of th
 - (x,y,y,y,y,z) = (x,y,z) + (x,y,z) + (x,y,z) + (x,y,z)
 - and the control of th

Confidence of the analysis of the transfer of

(x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y

ing again design

. Q. (a.c. ⊈6)

Commence of the second of the second

er i karantari da k Barantari da karantari da karant

CHECK TO SERVICE AND A SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE ABOVE THE

and the control of t The control of the control of